

## Real simple $n$ -Lie algebras admitting metric structures

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 485206

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/42/48/485206>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.156

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 08:25

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Real simple $n$ -Lie algebras admitting metric structures\*

Yidong Jin<sup>1</sup>, Wenli Liu<sup>2,3</sup> and Zhixue Zhang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, Hebei 071003, People's Republic of China

<sup>2</sup> School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Hebei University, Baoding, Hebei 071002, People's Republic of China

E-mail: [liuwl\\_hb@yahoo.com.cn](mailto:liuwl_hb@yahoo.com.cn)

Received 3 May 2009, in final form 16 October 2009

Published 13 November 2009

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/485206](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/485206)

## Abstract

We give the multiplication structures of all real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras and prove that each of them has metric dimension 1 or 2 depending on that it belongs to type I or type II. We also determine the signatures of metrics on all real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras. Moreover, we present an example of real 3-Lie algebras which is indecomposable but has much larger metric dimension.

PACS numbers: 02.20.Sv, 02.10.Xm

Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B20, 17B81

## 1. Introduction

Recently, it has been shown that the structure of metric the 3-Lie algebras is closely linked to the supersymmetry and gauge symmetry transformations of the worldvolume theory of multiple coincident M2-branes (see [1–3]). The Bagger–Lambert theory has a novel local gauge symmetry which is not based on a Lie algebra, but rather on a 3-Lie algebra. It was found that the Jacobi identity for a 3-Lie algebra is essential to define the action with  $N = 8$  supersymmetry, and the Jacobi identity can also be thought of as a generalized Plucker relation in the physics literature. To obtain the correct equations of motion for the Bagger–Lambert theory from a Lagrangian that is invariant under all aforementioned symmetries seems to require the 3-Lie algebra to admit an invariant inner product (i.e. a metric). The signature of this metric determines the relative signs of the kinetic terms for scalar and fermion fields in the Bagger–Lambert Lagrangian (see [1–3]). In ordinary gauge theory, a positive-definite metric is required in order to ensure that the theory has positive-definite kinetic terms and to prevent violations of unitarity due to propagating ghost-like degrees of freedom. However, there are very few 3-Lie algebras which admit positive-definite metrics. In fact, it has been shown (see

\* Project supported by NSF(A2007000138) of Hebei province.

<sup>3</sup> Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

[4, 5]) that all finite-dimensional 3-Lie algebras with positive-definite metrics are the direct sums of  $A_4^0$  (see section 3) with trivial algebras. On the other hand, in order to find new interacting Bagger–Lambert Lagrangians and despite the possibility of negative-norm states, one is led to contemplate 3-Lie algebras with metrics having any signatures  $(p, q)$ , such as  $p = 1$  (Lorentzian),  $p = 2$  or with a degenerate invariant symmetric bilinear forms, since in certain dynamical systems a zero-norm generator corresponds to a gauge symmetry while a negative-norm generator corresponds to a ghost (see [6–10]). Thus, it seems to be worthwhile and interesting, in both physical and mathematical observations, to investigate  $n$ -Lie algebras (any  $n \geq 3$ ) with invariant symmetric (nondegenerate or not) bilinear forms.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some notations and facts on  $n$ -Lie algebras. In particular, we summarize the classification of real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras. In section 3 we give the concrete bracket structures of all real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras of type I and the real simple 3-Lie algebra of type II, and prove that the metric dimension of a real simple  $n$ -Lie algebra is equal to 1 or 2 depending on that it is of type I or II. In section 4 we construct an example of real 3-Lie algebras which is indecomposable but has much larger metric dimension.

Throughout this paper,  $K$  will denote the number field  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$  and all  $n$ -Lie algebras will be finite dimensional over  $K$ .

## 2. Some results on $n$ -Lie algebras

We recall in this section some notations and results on  $n$ -Lie algebras which can be found in [11] and [12].

Let  $A$  be a vector space over  $K$ . An  $n$ -Lie algebra structure on  $A$  consists of a linear map  $[\dots]: \wedge^n A \rightarrow A$  such that the generalized Jacobi identity

$$[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, [y_1, \dots, y_n]] = \sum_{i=1}^n [y_1, \dots, [x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_i], \dots, y_n]$$

holds, for all  $x_k, y_k \in A$ .

A subspace  $I$  of an  $n$ -Lie algebra  $A$  is called an ideal of  $A$  if  $[I, A, \dots, A] \subseteq I$ . Clearly, the center  $Z(A) = \{x \in A \mid [x, A, \dots, A] = 0\}$  is an ideal of  $A$ . Call  $A$  solvable if  $A^{(k)} = 0$  for some  $k$ , where  $A^{(1)} = [A, \dots, A]$ , and  $A^{(l+1)} = [A^{(l)}, \dots, A^{(l)}]$ . Call  $A$  nilpotent if  $A^k = 0$  for some  $k$ , where  $A^1 = [A, \dots, A]$ , and  $A^{l+1} = [A^l, A, \dots, A]$ . The unique maximal solvable ideal of  $A$  is called the radical of  $A$  and denoted by  $\text{Rad}A$ . If  $\text{Rad}A = 0$ ,  $A$  is called semisimple. If  $A$  has no ideals except itself and 0, and if moreover  $A^1 = [A, \dots, A] \neq 0$ ,  $A$  is called simple.  $A$  is called Abelian, if  $A^1 = [A, \dots, A] = 0$ ,  $A$  is called perfect if  $A^1 = A$ .

**Proposition 2.1** ([12]). *There is, up to isomorphism, a unique simple  $n$ -Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ , for every  $n > 2$ . This algebra is of dimension  $(n + 1)$  and its bracket is given relative to a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  by*

$$[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}] = (-1)^{n+1+i} e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1, \quad (2.1)$$

where the symbol  $\hat{e}_i$  means that  $e_i$  is omitted.

**Remark 2.1.** In the following, we denote by  $A_0$  the unique complex simple  $n$ -Lie algebra given by (2.1).

**Remark 2.2.** In the following, any brackets not listed in a multiplication table of an  $n$ -Lie algebra are assumed to be equal to zero.

**Proposition 2.2** ([11, 12]). *An  $n$ -Lie algebra  $\tilde{A}$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  is semisimple if and only if  $\tilde{A}$  is a direct sum of simple ideals.*

In order to classify the finite-dimensional real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras, we will recall the definitions of complexification of a real  $n$ -Lie algebra, and of the realification of a complex  $n$ -Lie algebra.

Let  $\tilde{A}$  be an arbitrary real  $n$ -Lie algebra. We set up the tensor product  $\tilde{A}^{\mathbb{C}} := \tilde{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and regard it as a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $z_1(x \otimes z_2) := x \otimes z_1 z_2$ , for  $x \in \tilde{A}$ , and  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Obviously,  $\tilde{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra with bracket

$$[x_1 \otimes z_1, \dots, x_n \otimes z_n] := [x_1, \dots, x_n] \otimes z_1 \cdots z_n.$$

This complex  $n$ -Lie algebra is called the complexification of  $\tilde{A}$ . Clearly,  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{A} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

Conversely, given a complex  $n$ -Lie algebra  $\tilde{A}$ , then by restricting the ground field  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , we obtain a real  $n$ -Lie algebra, which will be called the realification of  $\tilde{A}$  and denoted by  $\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Clearly, if  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  is a basis of  $\tilde{A}$ , then  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m, \sqrt{-1}e_1, \dots, \sqrt{-1}e_m\}$  is a basis of  $\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , so  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \tilde{A}_{\mathbb{R}} = 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \tilde{A}$ .

A real  $n$ -Lie algebra  $\tilde{A}$  is called a real form of a complex  $n$ -Lie algebra  $\tilde{A}$  if  $\tilde{A}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is isomorphic to  $\tilde{A}$ .

**Proposition 2.3** ([11]). *Let  $\tilde{A}$  be an arbitrary complex simple  $n$ -Lie algebra. Then the realification  $\tilde{A}_{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\tilde{A}$  is simple.*

**Proposition 2.4** ([11]). *A real simple  $n$ -Lie algebra is either isomorphic to the realification of a complex simple  $n$ -Lie algebra, or isomorphic to a real form of a complex simple  $n$ -Lie algebra.*

According to proposition 2.4, in order to find all real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras ( $n \geq 3$ ), it suffices to calculate the real forms and the realifications of all complex simple  $n$ -Lie algebras. However, as proposition 2.1 shows, there is up to isomorphism only one finite-dimensional complex simple  $n$ -Lie algebra  $A_0$  of dimension  $(n+1)$  given by remark 2.1. Therefore, by proposition 2.3, as one simple real  $n$ -Lie algebra we have the realification of  $A_0$  and it is of dimension  $2(n+1)$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, it has been shown that (see [11, 12])  $A_0$  has up to isomorphism  $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$  real forms and every real form is determined by a bracket table given by (3.2) below. In summary, we have arrived at the following classification theorem of real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras.

**Theorem 2.5.** *All real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras are divided into two types:*

- type I:  $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor + 1$   $n$ -Lie algebras of dimension  $n + 1$ ,*
- type II: one  $n$ -Lie algebra of dimension  $2(n + 1)$ .*

### 3. Real simple $n$ -Lie algebras

Let  $A$  be an arbitrary  $n$ -Lie algebra over  $K$ . A bilinear form  $b$  on  $A$  is said to be invariant if  $b([x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x], y) = -b(x, [x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y])$  for all  $x_i, x, y \in A$ . A nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form  $b$  on  $A$  is called a metric (or an inner product) and  $(A, b)$  is said to be a metric  $n$ -Lie algebra.

Let us denote by  $F(A)$  the vector space of all symmetric invariant bilinear forms on  $A$  and let  $B(A)$  be the subspace of  $F(A)$  spanned by all metrics. We will call  $\dim B(A)$  the metric dimension of  $A$  and we will say that  $A$  admits a unique (up to a constant) metric structure if  $\dim B(A) = 1$ .

**Lemma 3.1** (cf [13]). *If  $A$  is an  $n$ -Lie algebra over  $K$  admitting a metric, then  $B(A) = F(A)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $b$  a metric on  $A$  and  $\varphi \in F(A)$ . Denote by  $M(b)$  and  $M(\varphi)$  the matrices associated with  $b$  and  $\varphi$  relative to a basis of  $A$ , respectively. Observe that the determinant  $\det(M(\varphi) - \lambda M(b))$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda$ . We may find  $\lambda_0 \in K, \lambda_0 \neq 0$ , such that  $\det(M(\varphi) - \lambda_0 M(b)) \neq 0$ . This shows that  $\varphi - \lambda_0 b$  is nondegenerate and  $\varphi = (\varphi - \lambda_0 b) + \lambda_0 b \in B(A)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -Lie algebra over  $K$  and let  $A = I_1 \oplus \dots \oplus I_m$ , where each  $I_k$  is an ideal of  $A$ .*

- (i)  $\dim F(A) \geq \sum_{k=1}^m \dim F(I_k)$ .
- (ii) *If, in addition, there are at least  $(m - 1)$  ideals among  $I_1, \dots, I_m$  which are perfect, then  $\dim F(A) = \sum_{k=1}^m \dim F(I_k)$ .*

**Proof.** One may consider  $F(I_k)$  as a subspace of  $F(A)$  by extending any  $b_k \in F(I_k)$  by zero in a natural way. Hence,  $F(A) \supseteq \bigoplus_{k=1}^m F(I_k)$  which proves (i). In order to prove (ii), we assume that  $I_l$  is perfect. Then for any  $\varphi \in F(A)$  and  $l' \neq l$ , we have

$$\varphi(I_l, I_{l'}) = \varphi([I_l, \dots, I_l], I_{l'}) = \varphi(I_l, [I_l, \dots, I_l, I_{l'}]) = 0.$$

Moreover, since  $\varphi|_{I_k \times I_k} \in F(I_k)$  for any  $k, 1 \leq k \leq m$ , it follows that  $\varphi = \sum_{k=1}^m \varphi|_{I_k \times I_k}$ , and hence  $F(A) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^m F(I_k)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} F(A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F(A^{\mathbb{C}}).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\{\varphi_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\}$  are linearly independent elements in  $F(A)$ . Extend each form  $\varphi_i$  to  $A^{\mathbb{C}}$  by

$$\bar{\varphi}_i(x_1 \otimes z_1, x_2 \otimes z_2) = z_1 z_2 \varphi_i(x_1, x_2), \quad x_i \in A, \quad z_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is easy to see that each  $\bar{\varphi}_i \in F(A^{\mathbb{C}})$ . We now prove that  $\{\bar{\varphi}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\}$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Assume that there exist  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}, 1 \leq i \leq l$ , such that  $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i \bar{\varphi}_i(x_1 \otimes z_1, x_2 \otimes z_2) = 0$  for any  $x_i \in A$  and  $z_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . In particular,  $\sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i \bar{\varphi}_i(x_1 \otimes 1, x_2 \otimes 1) = \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i \varphi_i(x_1, x_2) = 0$ . Suppose that  $\lambda_i = a_i + \sqrt{-1}b_i, a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^l a_i \varphi_i(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i=1}^l b_i \varphi_i(x_1, x_2) = 0$ . Hence,  $a_i = b_i = 0$ , i.e.  $\lambda_i = 0$ , which implies that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} F(A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F(A^{\mathbb{C}})$ .  $\square$

We now investigate the metric structures of all real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras ( $n \geq 3$ ).

First we consider the real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras of type I. Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}\}$  be a basis of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $b_s, 0 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ , be a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  whose associated matrix relative to this basis is  $\text{diag}(-1, \dots, -1, 1, \dots, 1)$  with  $s$  negatives, and  $f$  be a nonzero determinant form satisfying  $f(e_1, \dots, e_{n+1}) = 1$ . For  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  let  $[v_1, \dots, v_n]_s$  be the unique element in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  the identity

$$b_s([v_1, \dots, v_n]_s, x) = f(v_1, \dots, v_n, x) \tag{3.1}$$

holds. Then with the bracket  $[v_1, \dots, v_n]_s, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  becomes an  $n$ -Lie algebra, which will be denoted by  $A_{n+1}^s$ . According to [11],  $A_{n+1}^s \cong A_{n+1}^{s'}$  if and only if  $s = s', 0 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ , and  $\{A_{n+1}^s \mid 0 \leq s; s' \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor\}$  exhaust all real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras of type I.

Let  $[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}]_s = \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} a_k e_k$ ,  $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$b_s([e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}]_s, e_j) = \begin{cases} -a_j, & j \leq s, \\ a_j, & j > s. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$f(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}, e_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j, \\ (-1)^{n+1-j}, & i = j. \end{cases}$$

Then by (3.1), we have

$$[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}]_s = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-i} e_i, & i \leq s, \\ (-1)^{n+1-i} e_i, & i > s. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Identity (3.2) is the bracket table of real simple  $n$ -Lie algebra  $A_{n+1}^s$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $A_{n+1}^s, b_s$  as above. Then

- (i)  $\varphi \in F(A_{n+1}^s)$  if and only if  $\varphi = \alpha b_s$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (ii)  $\dim F(A_{n+1}^s) = \dim B(A_{n+1}^s) = 1$ .

**Proof.** Since  $f$  is a determinant form, it follows that every symmetric bilinear form  $b_s$  is invariant. Indeed, according to (3.1), we have for any  $v_1, \dots, v_n, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} b_s([v_1, \dots, v_n], x) &= f(v_1, \dots, v_n, x) = -f(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}, x, v_n) \\ &= -b_s([v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}], x, v_n) = -b_s(v_n, [v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}], x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\alpha b_s \in F(A_{n+1}^s)$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Conversely, suppose  $\varphi \in F(A_{n+1}^s)$ . Using (3.2) one has the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } i \neq j, & \quad \varphi(e_i, e_j) = \pm \varphi([e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}]_s, e_j) \\ & \quad = \pm \varphi(e_{n+1}, [e_1, \dots, e_j, \dots, e_j]_s) = 0, \\ \text{if } i \leq s, & \quad \varphi(e_i, e_i) = (-1)^{n-i} \varphi([e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}]_s, e_i) \\ & \quad = (-1)^{n-i+1} \varphi(e_{n+1}, [e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_n, e_i]_s) \\ & \quad = -\varphi(e_{n+1}, [e_1, \dots, e_n]_s) = -\varphi(e_{n+1}, e_{n+1}), \\ \text{if } i > s, & \quad \varphi(e_i, e_i) = (-1)^{n+1-i} \varphi([e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{n+1}]_s, e_i) \\ & \quad = (-1)^{n-i} \varphi(e_{n+1}, [e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_n, e_i]_s) \\ & \quad = \varphi(e_{n+1}, [e_1, \dots, e_n]_s) = \varphi(e_{n+1}, e_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\varphi(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j, \\ -\varphi(e_{n+1}, e_{n+1}), & i = j \leq s, \\ \varphi(e_{n+1}, e_{n+1}), & i = j > s. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$b_s(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 0, & i \neq j, \\ -1, & i = j \leq s, \\ 1, & i = j > s. \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $\varphi = \alpha b_s$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , which proves assertion (i). Assertion (ii) follows at once from lemma 3.1 and assertion (i). □

**Remark 3.1.** Here our 3-Lie algebra  $A_4^0$  is denoted by  $A_4$  in some articles (e.g. [6]).

Next we study the real simple  $n$ -Lie algebras of type II. For simplicity, we only consider the case  $n = 3$ .

Let  $A_0$  be the unique complex simple 3-Lie algebra with bracket table

$$[e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_4] = (-1)^i e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \tag{3.3}$$

Then the realification, denoted by  $A_8$ , of  $A_0$  is a real simple 3-Lie algebra of dimension 8, and  $\{e_i, e_{4+i} := \sqrt{-1}e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$  is a basis of  $A_8$ . From (3.3), we can write out the bracket table of  $A_8$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_4] &= (-1)^i e_i, \\ [e_{4+i}, \dots, \hat{e}_{4+i}, \dots, e_{4+4}] &= (-1)^{i+1} e_{4+i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 4, \\ [e_i, e_j, e_{4+k}] &= \sqrt{-1}[e_i, e_j, e_k], \quad 1 \leq i < j, \quad k \leq 4, \\ [e_i, e_{4+j}, e_{4+k}] &= -[e_i, e_j, e_k], \quad 1 \leq i, j < k \leq 4. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Note that  $A_8$  is the unique real simple 3-Lie algebra of type II. Using identity (3.4), one can easily verify that if  $\varphi$  is an invariant symmetric bilinear form on  $A_8$  then  $\varphi$  satisfies that

$$\varphi(e_i, e_j) = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, \quad j \leq 8,$$

except for

$$\varphi(e_1, e_1) = \dots = \varphi(e_4, e_4) = -\varphi(e_5, e_5) = \dots = -\varphi(e_8, e_8)$$

and

$$\varphi(e_1, e_5) = \varphi(e_2, e_6) = \varphi(e_3, e_7) = \varphi(e_4, e_8).$$

Define two bilinear forms  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  on  $A_8$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(e_i, e_j) &= 0, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq 8, & \varphi_1(e_i, e_i) &= -\varphi(e_{4+i}, e_{4+i}) = 1, & 1 \leq i \leq 4, \\ \varphi_2(e_i, e_j) &= 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 8, & \text{except for } \varphi_2(e_i, e_{4+i}) &= 1, & 1 \leq i \leq 4. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are linearly independent metrics on  $A_8$ .

**Theorem 3.5.**

- (i)  $\dim F(A_8) = \dim B(A_8) = 2$ .
- (ii) Any metric on  $A_8$  has the signature  $(4, 4)$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) By proposition 2.4,  $A_8^{\mathbb{C}}$ , the complexification of  $A_8$ , is semisimple, so  $A_8^{\mathbb{C}} = A_0 \oplus A_0$ , where  $A_0$  is the unique complex simple 3-Lie algebra of dimension 4. Using lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, one has  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} F(A_8) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} F(A_8^{\mathbb{C}}) = 2\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F(A_0)$ . Since  $A_0$  is simple, similar argument shows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F(A_0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} B(A_0) = 1$ . On the other hand, since  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are linearly independent,  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} F(A_8) \geq 2$ . Therefore,  $\dim F(A_8) = \dim B(A_8) = 2$ , by lemma 3.1.
- (ii) Let  $\varphi = k_1\varphi_1 + k_2\varphi_2$  be an arbitrary metric on  $A_8$ , where  $\varphi_i$  are given as above and  $k_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2$ . According to the knowledge of symmetric forms in linear algebra, we can prove by a direct calculation that the associated matrix of  $\varphi$  relative to  $\{e_1, \dots, e_8\}$  is congruent to  $\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$ . In other words, relative to another basis of  $A_8$ , the associated matrix of  $\varphi$  is  $\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1, -1)$ . □

**Remark 3.2.** Theorem 3.5 is true for any  $n \geq 3$ .

To conclude this section, we observe the metric structure on a real reductive  $n$ -Lie algebra. Assume that  $A$  is a real reductive  $n$ -Lie algebra, i.e.  $A = S \oplus Z(A)$ , where  $S$  is a semisimple ideal and  $Z(A)$  is the center of  $A$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a direct sum of  $l_1$  simple ideals of type I and  $l_2$  simple ideals of type II, and  $\dim Z(A) = r$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be a real reductive  $n$ -Lie algebra as above. Then  $A$  admits a metric and  $\dim B(A) = l_1 + 2l_2 + \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ .*

**Proof.** We first find a metric on  $A$ . Let  $Z(A) = \langle e_1, \dots, e_r \rangle$ . Define a bilinear form  $\varphi$  on  $Z(A)$  given by  $\varphi(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ .  $\varphi$  is clearly a metric on  $Z(A)$ . Note that  $S$  is a direct sum of  $l_1 + l_2$  simple ideals and each of them admits a metric, say  $\varphi_i$ . Then we may construct a metric on  $A$  from  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{l_1+l_2}$  and  $\varphi$  in a natural way. Hence,  $F(A) = B(A)$  by lemma 3.1. By lemma 3.2, one has  $\dim F(A) = \dim F(S) + \dim F(Z(A))$ . Moreover by theorems 3.4 and 3.5,  $\dim F(S) = \dim B(S) = l_1 + 2l_2$ . On the other hand,  $\dim F(Z(A)) = \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$  since the  $\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$  symmetric bilinear forms  $\varphi_{ij}$  on  $Z(A)$  defined to be zero except for  $\varphi_{ij}(e_i, e_j) = 1$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ , are invariant and form a basis of  $F(Z(A))$ . Therefore,  $\dim F(A) = \dim B(A) = l_1 + 2l_2 + \frac{r(r+1)}{2}$ .  $\square$

#### 4. An example of 3-Lie algebras

We have proved that any real simple  $n$ -Lie algebra has metric dimension 1 or 2. Now we present an example of real 3-Lie algebras which is indecomposable but has much larger metric dimension. This example is inspired by a result in [13].

We begin with recalling some facts on 3-Lie algebras. Let  $A$  be an arbitrary 3-Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\dim A < 3$ , then  $A$  is Abelian. If  $\dim A = 3$ , then either  $A$  is Abelian, or  $A$  has a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  such that  $[e_1, e_2, e_3] = e_1$  which shows that  $A$  is not nilpotent. Moreover, according to the multiplication table of  $n$ -Lie algebras of dimension  $n + 1$  (see [12]), there is a unique nilpotent and non-Abelian 3-Lie algebra of dimension 4 whose bracket is given, relative to a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$ , by  $[e_2, e_3, e_4] = e_1$ , which shows that  $A$  is nilpotent and non-Abelian. Summarizing above argument we obtain the following:

**Lemma 4.1** (cf [12]). *Let  $A$  be a nilpotent 3-Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\dim A \leq 3$ ,  $A$  is Abelian. If  $\dim A = 4$ ,  $A$  is non-Abelian and the center  $Z(A)$  is of dimension 1.*

*From now on, let  $A$  denote a real simple 3-Lie algebra of type I, i.e.  $A = A_4^s$ ,  $s = 0, 1, 2$ . Consider the vector space  $N(A, 2) := AT_1 \oplus AT_2$ , where  $AT_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is a copy of  $A$ . Define the bracket on  $N(A, 2)$  by*

$$[xT_i, yT_j, zT_k] = \begin{cases} [x, y, z]T_{i+j+k-1}, & i + j + k - 1 \leq 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Clearly,  $N(A, 2)$  is a real 3-Lie algebra of dimension 8.*

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $N = N(A, 2)$  be as above.*

- (i)  $N$  is nilpotent. More precisely,  $N^1 = Z(N) = AT_2$ ,  $N^2 = 0$ .
- (ii)  $N$  admits a metric.

**Proof.** Assertion (i) is obvious. In order to prove (ii), we assume that  $b$  is a metric on  $A$ , and define a bilinear form on  $N$  by  $\bar{b}(xT_i, yT_j) = b(x, y)\delta_3^{i+j}$ ,  $x, y \in A$ , where  $\delta_s^t$  is the Kronecker symbol. Clearly,  $\bar{b}$  is symmetric since  $b$  is so. To prove that  $\bar{b}$  is nondegenerate,



we take a nonzero vector  $X = x_1T_1 + x_2T_2 \in N$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $x_1 \neq 0$ . Since  $b$  is nondegenerate, there exists  $y \in A$  such that  $b(x_1, y) \neq 0$ . Hence,  $\bar{b}(X, yT_2) = b(x_1, y) \neq 0$ . Now we prove that  $\bar{b}$  is invariant. Let  $x, y, u, v \in A$ , then  $\bar{b}([xT_i, yT_j, uT_k], vT_l) = \bar{b}([x, y, u]T_{i+j+k-1}, vT_l) = b([x, y, u], v)\delta_3^{i+j+k+l-1} = -b(u, [x, y, v]\delta_3^{i+j+k+l-1}) = -\bar{b}(uT_k, [xT_i, yT_j, vT_l]), i, j, k, l = 1, 2$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.**  $N = N(A, 2)$  as above.

- (i)  $N$  is indecomposable.
- (ii)  $\dim B(N) \geq 5$ .

**Proof.**

- (i) Suppose that  $N = I \oplus J$ , where  $I$  and  $J$  are non-zero ideals of  $N$ . If one of them, say  $I$ , has dimension greater than 4, then  $\dim J \leq 3$ .

Lemma 4.2 shows that  $J$  is nilpotent, so  $J$  must be Abelian by lemma 4.1. Thus, one has that  $[J, N, N] \subseteq [J, J, J] = 0$ , which shows that  $J \subseteq Z(N)$ , the center of  $N$ . Thus, by lemma 4.2, one has that

$$J \subseteq Z(N) = N^1 = [N, N, N] = [I, I, I] \subseteq I,$$

which is impossible since  $I \cap J = \{0\}$ . On the other hand, if  $\dim I = \dim J = 4$ , then again by lemma 4.1,  $I$  and  $J$  are all non-Abelian and  $\dim Z(I) = \dim Z(J) = 1$ . But by lemma 4.2,  $\dim Z(N) = 4$ , which leads to a contradiction for  $Z(N) = N(I) \oplus N(J)$ . This shows that  $N$  must be indecomposable.

- (ii) In order to prove that  $\dim B(N) \geq 5$ , it suffices to prove that  $\dim F(N) \geq 5$  according to lemmas 4.2 and 3.1. Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$  be a basis of  $A$ , then  $\{x_i = e_iT_1, x_{4+i} = e_iT_2 \mid 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$  is a basis of  $N$  such that  $\{x_5, \dots, x_8\}$  provides a basis of  $Z(N)$ . Let  $\bar{b}$  be the metric on  $N$  given by lemma 4.2. For each  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  define a bilinear form  $\varphi_j$  on  $N$  by

$$\varphi_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^8 a_i x_i, \sum_{i=1}^8 b_i x_i \right) = a_j b_j, \quad a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is easy to prove that  $\varphi_j$  is invariant and symmetric. We now prove that the bilinear forms  $\bar{b}$  and  $\varphi_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , are linearly independent. Take  $k_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ , such that  $k_0\bar{b} + \sum_{j=1}^4 k_j\varphi_j = 0$ . Since  $b$  is nondegenerate on  $A$ , there are  $u, v \in A$ , such that  $b(u, v) \neq 0$ . Thus,

$$\left( k_0\bar{b} + \sum_{j=1}^4 k_j\varphi_j \right) (uT_1, vT_2) = k_0\bar{b}(uT_1, vT_2) = k_0b(u, v),$$

which forces that  $k_0 = 0$ . Similarly, for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,

$$\left( k_0\bar{b} + \sum_{j=1}^4 k_j\varphi_j \right) (x_i, x_i) = k_i\varphi_i(x_i, x_i) = k_i = 0.$$

Hence,  $\bar{b}$  and  $\varphi_j, 1 \leq j \leq 4$ , are linearly independent and  $\dim F(N) \geq 5$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments**

The authors are very grateful to the referees for their patient and constructive comments, which improved the appearance and readability of this paper.

## References

- [1] Bagger J and Lambert N 2008 Gauge symmetry and supersymmetry of multiple M2-branes *Phys. Rev. D* **77** 065008
- [2] Bagger J and Lambert N 2008 Comments on multiple M2-branes *J. High Energy Phys.* JHEP0802(2008)105
- [3] Gustavsson A 2007 Algebraic structure on parallel M2-branes arXiv:0709.1260
- [4] Papadopoulos G 2008 M2-branes, 3-Lie algebras and Plucker relations arXiv:0804.2662
- [5] Gauntlett J P and Gutowski J B 2008 Constraining maximally supersymmetric membrane actions arXiv:0804.3078
- [6] Ho P M, Hou R C and Matsuo Y 2008 Lie 3-algebra and multiple M2-branes arXiv:0804.2110
- [7] de Medeiros P, Figueroa-o'Farrill J and Me'ndez-Escobar E 2008 Lorentzian Lie 3-algebras and their Bagger–Lambert moduli: space arXiv:0805.4363
- [8] de Medeiros P, Figueroa-o'Farrill J and Me'ndez-Escobar E 2008 Metric Lie 3-algebras in Bagger–Lambert theory arXiv:0806.3242
- [9] de Medeiros P, Figueroa-o'Farrill J and Me'ndez-Escobar E 2009 Metric 3-Lie algebras for unitary Bagger–Lambert theory arXiv:0902.4674
- [10] Figueroa-o'Farrill J 2008 Lorentzian Lie  $n$ -algebras arXiv:0805.4760
- [11] Ling W X 1993 On structure of  $n$ -Lie algebras *PhD Thesis* Siegen
- [12] Filippov V 1985  $n$ -Lie algebras, *Sibirik Math. Zh.* **26** 126–40
- [13] Bajo I and Benayadi S 1997 Lie algebras admitting a unique quadratic structure *Commun. Algebr.* **25** 2795–805